UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CLERK,	FILED U.S. DISTRICT COUR	Т
0	08/27/2015	
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY:		

IN RE: PACQUIAO-MAYWEATHER BOXING MATCH PAY-PER-VIEW LITIGATION

MDL No. 2639

Dehart et al v. Top Rank, Inc. et al

2:15-cv-06561-RGK-PLAx

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)

On August 14, 2015, the Panel transferred 8 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Central District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2015). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Central District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Robert Gary Klausner.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Central District of California and assigned to Judge Klausner.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Central District of California for the reasons stated in the order of August 14, 2015, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Robert Gary Klausner.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Aug 24, 2015

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

I hereby attest and certify on 08/27/2015 that the foregoing document is full, true and correct copy of the original on file in my office, and in my legal custody.

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEPUTY LERK

IN RE: PACQUIAO-MAYWEATHER BOXING MATCH PAY-PER-VIEW LITIGATION

MDL No. 2639

SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORN	NIA SOUTH	HERN	
CAS CAS	3 3	15-01006 15-01025	McDonald et al v. Pacquiao et al Constantino v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
CONNECT	ICUT		
CT	3	15-00689	Alessi v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
FLORIDA	SOUTHER	N	
FLS FLS FLS	0 0 0	15-60949 15-60952 15-60964	Barker et al v. Mayweather Promotions, LLC et al Scheffer v. Top Rank, Inc. et al Miller v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
ILLINOIS	NORTHER	N	
ILN	1	15-04232	Galandak et al v. Pacquiao et al
MICHIGA	N EASTER	N	
MIE MIE	2 2	15-11936 15-12424	Bradley v. Pacquiao et al Causey v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
NEVADA			
NV NV	2 2	15-00855 15-00877	Assalian v. Top Rank, Inc. et al 2:15-cv-06557-RGK-PLAx Dehart et al v. Top Rank, Inc. et al 2:15-cv-06561-RGK-PLAx
NEW JERS	SEY		
NJ	1	15-04540	SCHOFIELD et al v. SHOWTIME NETWORKS INC. et al
NJ	2	15-03286	NAZARIO v. TOP RANK, INC. et al
NEW MEX	CICO		

Case 2: Case 10087NoV2609CVVbbunDeQuITSANT2RedFilst2408527/45e \$2008 3 of 3

NM	2	15-00641	Villasenor v. Showtime Networks, Inc. et al			
NEW YORK EASTERN						
NYE NYE	1 1	15-02637 15-02716	Vallaro v. Pacquiao et al Natal v. Top Rank, Inc. et al			
NYE	1	15-02/10	Bynum v. Pacquiao et al			
NYE	2	15-02709	Brodsky et al v. Pacquiao et al			
NEW YORK SOUTHERN						
NYS	1	15-03572	Braunstein v. Top Rank, Inc. et al			
NYS	1	15-03740	Jimenez et al v. Top Rank, Inc. et al			
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN						
PAE	2	15-02511	GORDON et al v. SHOWTIME NETWORKS INC. et al			
PAE	2	15-02516	CAPO v. TOP RANK, INC. et al			
PUERTO RICO						
PR	3	15-01550	Mora-Rodriguez et al v. Pacquiao et al			
SOUTH CAROLINA						
SC	7	15-02028	Thrailkill v. Top Rank Inc et al			
TENNESSEE MIDDLE						
TNM	3	15-00592	Crabtree v. Pacquiao et al			
TEXAS SOUTHERN						
TXS	3	15-00104	Bouchier v. Comcast Corporation et al			